

APPLICATION NO.

09/621,716

46718

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

Christopher Thomas Sepe 018563-003300US 6440

EXAMINER

EW, LLP (018563) MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP (018563) TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

01/11/2006

FILING DATE

07/24/2000

7590

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3623

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/621,716	SEPE, CHRISTOPHER THOMAS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004 and 19 March 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ ☐ Intensiew S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/621,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. This non-final Office action is responsive to the withdrawal of abandonment, granted on March 19, 2004.

The previous art rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bianco et al. (US 2002/0082865) in view of McMenimen et al. (US 2002/0077850).

Regarding claims 1 and 20, Bianco discloses a patient scheduling system in which patients can access a personal account online to receive task reminders, schedule medical appointments, purchase a medical product, etc. (¶¶ 16-22). Since each patient must log in, there are presumably a plurality of patients and patient computers connected to the network (Figs. 1, 4; ¶ 97), which may be a wide area network (¶ 81). Bianco states that "the medical event may be an operation…but should be understood generally to encompass any type of medical events" (¶ 78). When a patients logs in to the system, he/she may be reminded to complete various preprocedure or post-procedure tasks, such as scheduling an appointment, scheduling the

Application/Control Number: 09/621,716

Art Unit: 3623

procedure, or ordering a medical product (¶¶ 94, 95, 108, 117, 121, 140). Appointment reminders may also be requested (¶¶ 108, 140) and messages may be sent to the patient's e-mail inbox (¶ 109). While Bianco reminds patients to order medical products and schedule appointments or medical procedures, Bianco does not expressly integrate the scheduling with the manufacturing process. However, the Examiner submits that when a scheduled procedure requires installation, insertion, or some other use of an ordered medical product, then the medical product must be made available in time for the scheduled procedure. In other words, there must be some understanding of whether a needed medical product will be available in time for the related medical procedure. While this practice (i.e., checking to make sure all supplies are ready for a medical procedure) was commonly performed by hand, McMenimen incorporates by reference the full disclosure of Provisional Patent Application No. 60/180,289 (to which McMenimen claims priority). In the provisional application, McMenimen describes an automated inventory monitoring system in which the "patient and/or the ordering entity can monitor the status of the build process, expected completion, and shipment delivery status" (page 2 of the section entitled "Responsive Manufacturing and Inventory Control"). Since it is well-known for medical procedures to be delayed until necessary medical products become available and McMenimen describes an automated system for alerting patients and ordering entities to the status of build-to-order, implantable medical devices, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Bianco to allow a logged-on patient to receive manufacturing progress information with the patient

computer and perform patient scheduling over a wide area network when one or more dental appliances reach a predetermined manufacturing progress in order to more efficiently facilitate scheduling of a medical procedure when needed build-to-order medical devices are expected to become available, thereby mitigating wastes in time and effort that might otherwise be spent rescheduling medical procedures when the delivery of required medical devices is delayed. It should be noted that "predetermined manufacturing progress" could refer to any part of the manufacturing process, including the beginning, an intermediate stage, and the end (i.e., the product is completed). McMenimen shows that the patient and/or ordering entity can track the device anytime during the build process, including expected completion, which is an example of "predetermined manufacturing progress."

Further regarding claims 1 and 20, neither Bianco nor McMenimen expressly teaches that the medical product comprises one or more dental appliances; however, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well-known in the art of medical devices that dental appliances are commonly ordered build-to-order medical products. The claimed functionality and that of Bianco and McMenimen would be the same regardless of what type of device is manufactured for the patient; therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to adapt the Bianco-McMenimen combination to supply one or more dental appliances in order to expand its customer base to the dental industry. Additionally, the limitations regarding the dental industry and dental appliances *per se* merely recite various intended uses of the invention. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed

Art Unit: 3623

invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The claimed recitations of intended use neither result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art nor in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art; therefore, the claimed invention is not deemed to be patentably distinct over the prior art.

As per claims 2-5, Bianco's server can generate reminders and e-mail messages for the patients while McMenimen apprises the patient and ordering entity of medical device manufacturing status (as discussed above). More specifically, McMenimen allows patients and ordering entities to access manufacturing progress information [Claim 2] when the appliances reach a predetermined manufacturing stage (page 2 of the section entitled "Responsive Manufacturing and Inventory Control"), [Claim 3] wherein the appliances are marked as part of the manufacturing process (page 2 of the section entitled "Responsive Manufacturing and Inventory Control"), [Claim 4] when the appliances reach one or more intermediate stages of manufacturing (page 2 of the section entitled "Responsive Manufacturing and Inventory Control"), [Claim 5] wherein the information is related to manufacturing progress (page 2 of the section entitled "Responsive Manufacturing and Inventory Control"). The Examiner further submits that a device ordering entity is often a treating professional since the treating professional

Application/Control Number: 09/621,716

Art Unit: 3623

will likely be installing the device. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to adapt the Bianco-McMenimen combination such that the server sends a message to a patient when the appliances reach a predetermined manufacturing stage (claim 2), wherein the server sends a message to a patient when the appliances are being marked (claim 3), wherein the server sends a message to a treating professional when the appliances reach one or more intermediate stages of manufacturing (claim 4), wherein the server sends an electronic mail message to transmit information relating to manufacturing progress (claim 5) in order to more efficiently facilitate scheduling of a medical procedure when needed build-to-order medical devices are expected to become available, thereby mitigating wastes in time and effort that might otherwise be spent rescheduling medical procedures when the delivery of required medical devices is delayed.

Regarding claim 6, Bianco discloses that the server maintains calendar pages for the treating professionals (Figs. 5-6B; ¶¶ 81, 97, 98).

As per claim 7, Bianco's server invites a patient to access an on-line calendar and schedule an appointment (¶¶ 94, 95, 108, 117, 121, 140); however, Bianco does not expressly send such an invitation or reminder when the appliances reach the last stage of manufacturing. As discussed above, it is well-known for medical procedures to be delayed until necessary medical products become available and McMenimen describes an automated system for alerting patients and ordering entities to the status of build-to-order, implantable medical devices. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it

Art Unit: 3623

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to adapt the Bianco-McMenimen combination to invite a patient to access an on-line calendar and schedule an appointment when the appliances reach the last stage of manufacturing in order to more efficiently facilitate scheduling of a medical procedure when needed build-to-order medical devices are expected to become available, thereby mitigating wastes in time and effort that might otherwise be spent rescheduling medical procedures when the delivery of required medical devices is delayed.

Regarding claim 8, Bianco discloses a network of treating professionals coupled to the network (Figs. 5-6B; ¶¶ 81, 97, 98).

Regarding claim 9, neither Bianco nor McMenimen explicitly teaches that the server requests intervention from manufacturing personnel when one or more manufacturing stages fall behind schedule; however, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well-known in the art of manufacturing to alert manufacturing personnel when a manufacturing stage falls behind schedule. This allows the personnel to make decisions that could rectify manufacturing delays, as needed. Such intervention might be especially crucial in high risk or emergency medical situations. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to adapt the Bianco-McMenimen combination such that the server requests intervention from manufacturing personnel when one or more manufacturing stages fall behind schedule in order to allow manufacturing personnel to make decisions that could rectify manufacturing delays, as needed (e.g., in high risk or emergency medical situations).

Application/Control Number: 09/621,716 Page 8

Art Unit: 3623

Regarding claim 10, while the Bianco-McMenimen combination teaches that the server updates the patient with manufacturing progress information (as discussed above), the combination does not expressly teach the specific data recited in the claim (i.e., information relating to a delay caused by manufacturing slippage). This difference is only found in the non-functional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited nor does it alter the recited structural elements; therefore, such a difference does not effectively serve to patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art. The recited method steps would be performed the same regardless of the specific data. Further, the structural elements remain the same regardless of the specific data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994); MPEP § 2106. Nevertheless, Official Notice is taken that it is old and wellknown in the art of manufacturing to alert a customer to manufacturing slippage, i.e., that a manufacturing stage has fallen behind schedule. This allows the customer to make product-related decisions or alternate plans accordingly. For example, some sort of intervention or alternate planning might be especially crucial in high risk or emergency medical situations. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to adapt the Bianco-McMenimen combination such that the server updates the patient with information relating to a delay caused by manufacturing slippage in order to allow the

Art Unit: 3623

patient to make product-related decisions or alternate plans, as needed (e.g., in high risk or emergency medical situations).

[Claims 11-19] Claims 11-19 recite limitations already addressed by the rejection of claims 1-10 above; therefore, the same rejection applies.

Furthermore (regarding claim 7), as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, Bianco's patients log on to the system.

Regarding claim 18 and as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to adapt the Bianco-McMenimen combination to supply one or more dental appliances in order to expand its customer base to the dental industry. Additionally, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well-known in the dental industry for a dentist or orthodontist to install dental appliances. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to market the Bianco-McMenimen combination to treating professionals, including dentists or orthodontists, in order to expand its customer base to the treating professionals in the dental industry.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10 am - 6 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/621,716 Page 10

Art Unit: 3623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

January 8, 2006